THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ian James Whitworth

Examiner: P.W. Echols

Serial No

09/647,087 based on PCT/GB99/00975

APPARATUS FOR THE PRODUCTION

Art Unit:

Cnfrm No

Unknown

Filed

March 29, 1999

3726

For

5

OF POCKETED COIL SPRINGS

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202

Box:

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are the following items:

- 1) Response to Restriction Requirement; and
- 2) Postcard.

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 14-1138 for additional fees that may be incurred.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 23, 2002

John Campa

Registration No. 49,014

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, New York 14603-1051

Telephone: (585) 263-1519 Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

Certificate of Mailing - 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. BOX 2327
Artington, VA 22202, on the date below.

O PE VO

PATENT 2308/100

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#7/Election

Applicant : Ian James Whitworth) Examiner:
P.W. Echols

Serial No : 09/647,087 based on PCT/GB99/00975)

Art Unit:

Cnfrm No : Unknown) 3726

Filed : March 29, 1999) 00 PR CT

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202 **Box:**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 27, 2002, Applicants hereby provisionally elect the invention of Group I, drawn to an apparatus for the production of pocketed coil springs as set forth in claims 1-20, with traverse for the following reasons.

The Office asserts that restriction is proper between Group I and Group II, which includes claims 21-24, because Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept since they lack the same or corresponding special technical features as set forth under PCT Rule § 13. Further, the Office identifies the special technical features of a "programmable control means operably linked to said coiling elements ..." in Group I, claim 1, and "separating said coil from said wire ..." in Group II, claim 21. Under PCT Rule § 13, unity of invention is present where "there is a technical relationship among the inventions that involves at least one common or corresponding special technical feature." Applicants submit that Group I, claim 1 has a special technical feature of "an encapsulation section in which the coil is inserted between juxtaposed sheets of material" that corresponds to the feature of "inserting said coil between juxtaposed sheets of material" in Group II, claim 21. As such, the above-identified special technical features clearly establish a "technical

relationship" between Groups I and II. Accordingly, the restriction at least between Groups I and II is improper, and therefore should be withdrawn for at least this reason alone.

Additionally, M.P.E.P. § 1893.03(d) states "[a]n apparatus ... is specifically designed for carrying out the process when the apparatus ... is suitable for carrying out the process with the technical relationship being present between the claimed apparatus ... and the claimed process ..." As identified above, the technical relationship between Groups I and II includes the coil being inserted between the juxtaposed sheets of material. As such, Applicants submit that the invention set forth in Group I of an "[a]pparatus for the production of pocketed coil springs" is suitable for carrying out a "method of producing pocketed coil springs" as set forth in Group II. Section 1893.03(d) further provides that "[t]he expression specifically designed does not imply that the apparatus ... could not be used for carrying out another process, nor does it imply that the process could not be carried out using an alternative apparatus ..." Accordingly, Applicants submit that examining Groups I and II together in one application is proper and places no undo burden upon the Office. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the Office to withdraw the Restriction Requirement with respect to Group I and Group II for this additional reason.

Examination of claims 1-24 is next in order and such action is hereby earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 23, 2002

John Campa

Registration No. 49,014

NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, New York 14603 Telephone: (585) 263-1519

Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

Certificate of Mailing - 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. BOX 2327
Arlington, VA 22202, on the date below:

4/23/02

Suranne Clair Claw